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Comment 1
The researcher in this presentation has proposed that we should treat rural and urban poverty separately. That is a unique idea in itself, and I would love to hear more about that. On the other hand, in his explanation, the researcher has commented more on comparing contemporary approaches towards handling poverty alleviation and new approach offered by him. While the idea is not impractical and should be taken seriously, I would like to advise our researcher to be more focused and present his ideas rather sensitively, serially and in a progressive manner. The concepts are solid; however, the structure and analytical approach adopted in the paper, and its presentation needs refinement. The article's title seems a bit too long; I would suggest using concise and clear language on the whole.

Comment 2
The author contends that handling poverty needs a departure from the traditional development approach of implementing generalized policies such as 'conditional cash transfer programs' that mostly failed to retain policy focus and sustain the impact. The author also states a need to recalibrate and redefine developmental goals, especially for developing countries. I would hardly disagree with these authors' assertions; however, I would like him to offer solid alternatives also at the same time. Poverty reduction is an issue with which we have been grappling with since ions, and there is hardly any consensus as to how we can control this Gennie. In the end, I would like to see more explanation on a workable model of alternative poverty reduction model as suggested by the author.

Comment 3
I like the socio-economic framework of dealing with poverty as suggested by the presenter. I think the presenter has a practical experience of dealing with poverty or at least governance-related matters, as reflected in his presentation.
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Question No. 1
What alternative are you suggesting?

Question No. 2
How would you explain the steps of policy graduation as proposed by you in your study?

Question No. 3
Are you just presenting a conceptual model, or do you plan to supplant your contentions with some data and statistical model/analysis?

Question No. 4
Do you believe that capitalistic systems are capable of committing suicide to permit a progressive model as proposed by you?

Question No. 5
Will you be satisfied with the model of social welfare and wealth distribution as adapted and practised by several Scandinavian countries?

Question No. 6
I underrated you as you deeply rooted and structural reforms. But why would you be against quick relief to poor and oppressed in times of calamities when relief requirement may be too urgent and cannot wait for long-term solutions?

Question No. 7
Excuse me if I sound a bit harsh in stating the next comments and question following that. I am not against what you are proposing, but are you not a crazy idealist? What will it take to bring back dreamers like you to come back to the world of reality?